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Organizational creativity: challenges for strategic management in globalized world

Creativity has been studied for a long time in the social sciences. Business studies however have been more timid in exploring organizational level creativity. In business practice, an increasing number of organizations emphasize creativity as a core aspect of their strategy. Renault, for instance, has emphasized "creative technology", Michelin relied on "creative communities" to develop its global environmental strategy (Chassagnon & Haned, 2013), and a core characteristic of so-called creative industries such as videogames or the performing arts is firms' capacity to manage intangibles such as aesthetics, imagination, and art within a financially and economically constrained space (Massé & Paris, 2013). On a similar note, organizations in the social economy are exposed to multiple values and logics, which they have to combine to create new and original ideas, products and services (Binder, 2007). Last but not least, a recent IBM CEO Study, "Capitalizing on complexity" (2010), has found that more than two thirds of the more than 1500 international business leaders interviewed for this study considered the management of creativity one of the main future challenges for organizations.

Past research on organizational creativity has proposes interactionist (Woodman et al., 1993), evolutionary (Ford, 1996), and organizational climate perspectives (Ekvall, 1996), among others, and studies creativity on individual, group and organization levels (Amabile, 1988; Shalley et al., 2004). Taking a slightly different approach, the entrepreneurship literature has studied entrepreneurial behaviors to introduce novel ideas and business models (Napier & Nelsson, 2006; Kremer & Verstraete, 2014). Building on these literatures, three main aspects
warrant further exploration: (1) the management of organizational boundaries, (2) the management of ideas, and (3) the role of organizational capabilities and resources.

The first aspect, *management of organizational boundaries*, has traditionally been one of the key questions addressed by organizational design. Normally looked at from the vantage points of efficiency, power, competence or identity, organizational boundaries also play a critical role in organizational creativity. Research on open innovation, for example, highlights the possible gains of opening up design and innovation processes to outside inputs, especially ideas (Chesbrough, 2003). In doing so, organizations engage in co-creating with other firms or users (Pisano & Verganti, 2008). The involvement of external users enhances idea creation (Franke et al., 2008; Jeppesen & Frederiksen, 2006), and new approaches such as user toolkits (Parmentier & Gandia, 2013) or the interaction with virtual user communities (Burger-Helmchen & Cohendet, 2011; Parmentier & Mangematin, 2014) involve user creativity in the co-creation of products and services, while raising, on the other hand, numerous questions about the conditions under which such forms of "collective bricolage" actually succeed (Duymedjian et Rüling, 2010).

In addition to crossing external boundaries, ideas also need to cross internal organizational boundaries to enhance processes of creation and organizational change. Incentives for contextual ambidexterity (Brion et al., 2008) enable organizations to manage both exploitation and exploration, even within very small creative industry firms (Parmentier & Mangematin, 2009). Network positions and ties, both internal and external, play an important role in individual and organizational creativity (Perry-Smith, 2006; Hargadon & Sutton, 1997). Networks extending into "creative territories" (Simon, 2009) facilitate the development of organizational creativity. These territories can take the form of physical spaces (e.g., Fab labs, co-working initiatives, or geographic clusters) or consist of virtual networks, for example social media communities. Both question established firm boundaries and at the same time also recognize the importance of some form of boundaries for the emergence of creative solutions. In other words, boundaries must be managed in order to favor the emergence of ideas and to favor their transformation into new products and services. These new creative territories challenge existing organization of work, especially in the context of well-established multinational firms.
The second direction for research focuses on the **management of ideas**. Psychologists have studied idea generation for a long time, looking in particular at the contexts and the resources favoring both individual (Amabile, 1996) and organizational creativity (Drazin et al, 1999). Over time, individual and organizational creativity gained attention in management research as firms' capacity to create new knowledge has been increasingly recognized as a strategic challenge (Dechamp & Szostak, 2014). Methods and tools for creative thinking abound, and their effectiveness has been studied to some extent (e.g., Carrier et al., 2010), however without yielding clear results. Entrepreneurship research has considered ideation as a fundamental step in the entrepreneurial process (Tremblay, 2014). It has also studied the connection between creativity and business models, for instance by analyzing the role of mental maps as tools of learning and creativity in entrepreneurial business model design (Kremer & Verstraete, 2014). More generally, design thinking (Brown, 2010), has been proposed to encourage firms to develop multidisciplinary approaches, rapidly test new ideas, and to recognize serendipity as a source of organizational creativity. In intercultural groups, diversity can provide opportunities to promote the emergence of new ideas. But we still need to design methods to produce both diverge and converge in such contexts while ensuring the necessary level of trust and shared understanding. Whereas idea generation has been addressed in the literature, idea selection as a subsequent step closely related to ideation has received much less attention outside the traditional innovation management literature (e.g., in the context of stage gate models). Both researchers and management practitioner have a shared interest in building on early work (e.g., Lubart, 2001; Faure, 2004; Putnam & Paulus, 2009) to further our understanding of processes of organizational creativity and the management of ideas.

The third direction addressed in this call concerns the link between **organizational capabilities and resources** and creativity. Here, creativity is seen as an organizational capability partaking in an organization's overall dynamic capabilities (Teece et al. 1997; Teece, 2007, Napier & Nilsson, 2006). Referring to the ability to consider less evident solutions (Carier & Gélinas, 2011), creativity suggests a critical stance towards routines and depends on an organization's willingness to provide resources for creative exploration and to support perturbation of its routines. In extreme situations, such as very fast growth, managing the tension between creativity and routine allows organizations to create the conditions necessary to its own destabilization in order to anticipate uncertain future developments.
(Chanut-Guieu & Guieu, 2014). Creativity implies that organizations manage paradoxical configurations (Andriopoulos, 2003), for instance by catering to employees' passions while at the same time seeking to attain financial objectives, or by encouraging personal initiatives while maintaining a strong shared vision. An highly interesting area of study concerns the question of how organizations, especially multinational firms, succeed in managing these paradoxical processes. One approach highlights the role of "creative slack" (Cohendet & Simon, 2007). Organizations need to allocate resources among several areas in order to develop projects that potentially lead to valuable ideas. In doing so, organizations constitute reservoirs of ideas and knowledge from which individuals and groups can draw. Taken together, these novel approaches highlight the need to study how creative individuals and teams in organizations are managed.

In line with these three directions, the special issue on organizational seeks to stimulate research on organizational creativity and boundaries. The range of issues suggested above, however, is not exhaustive; we also invite contributions address any other question related to the overall theme of organizational creativity, and we explicitly welcome both conceptual and empirical contributions.

Manuscripts must be submitted by email to creativite_orga@coactis.org. The submission deadline is April 15th, 2016. Submitted papers must respect the rules set out in the journal's editorial guidelines (http://www.managementinternational.ca/en/authors-section/).
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